

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,010	06/04/2002	George J. Vlahos		7211
75	90 07/21/2003			
George J Vlahos			EXAMINER	
8549 Heather Court St John, IN 46373			JOHNSON, HENRY I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/Y. <i>K</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/064,010	VLAHOS, GEORGE J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matters, preserved to the results of the r	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application	.,						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5 and 16-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 6-10 and 12 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 11 and 13-15 is/are objected to.	for election requirement	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applica	ition No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
a) The translation of the foreign language p							
Attachment(s)	. ,						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

During a telephone conversation with George Vlahos on June 15, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of species 2, claims 6-15.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-5 and 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Objections

The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 is missing the word "A" in the beginning and claim 12 has a period at the beginning of the claim.

Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6, 7, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 2,460,543 to Spierer. Spierer discloses an ultraviolet ray toilet seat comprising a seat pivotally supported on brackets mounted upon the back portion of a toilet bowl, the seat being constructed of material adapted to readily transmit ultraviolet light (Col 2, lines 6-15). A ushaped ultraviolet tube is positioned within a recess in the seat and is thus positioned to expose the buttocks of one seated thereon (Col. 2, lines 17-20).

Regarding claim 7, the limitations are related to intended use rather than structure of the device and are given limited patentable weight.

Regarding claim 9, a portion of the ultraviolet tube is positioned at rear of the seat.

Regarding claim 10, the translucent seat is interpreted as a transparent waterproof cover for the ultraviolet light therein.

Regarding claim 12, the ultraviolet light is mounted in a recess on the lower surface of the seat.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 2,460,543 to Spierer. Spierer discloses the claimed invention except for two separate lights on

Art Unit: 3739

opposite sides of the seat. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use multiple lights to provide the same exposure as the single ultraviolet tube, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11 and 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Henry M Johnson, III Patent Examiner Art Unit 3739

Hmj July 17, 2003

HOY D. GIBSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER